www.historymarg.com

16 Years ESJ
Special Edition Peer-reviewed

Echoes of Ahimsa: The Historical Roots of Indian Philosophy of Peace and Its Relevance in Present Times

Vikram Bhardwaj
Associate Professor, COE Government College Sanjauli, Shimla, India

Doi:10.19044/esj.2026.v22n37p23

Submitted: 30 September 2025
Accepted: 24 November 2025
Published: 10 January 2026

Copyright 2026 Author(s)
Under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0
OPEN ACCESS

Cite As:
Bhardwaj, V. (2026). *Echoes of Ahimsa: The Historical Roots of Indian Philosophy of Peace and Its Relevance in Present Times.* European Scientific Journal, ESJ, 22 (37), 23. https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2026.v22n37p23

Abstract

The idea of **Ahimsa (non-violence)** has been a central theme in Indian thought and a key principle in building peace for centuries. This paper traces the historical journey of Ahimsa–from its early mentions in Vedic texts and the Upanishads to its more explicit expressions in Jainism, Buddhism, and the *Bhagavad Gita*. A significant turning point came during the rule of the Mauryan Emperor **Ashoka**. After the Kalinga War, his edicts promoted tolerance, social welfare, and nonviolent diplomacy, demonstrating how Ahimsa influenced governance and relations between states. In later centuries, the **Bhakti** and **Sufi** movements spread the message of non-violence and compassion in everyday life, encouraging harmony among diverse communities. In the modern period, **Mahatma Gandhi** gave Ahimsa a new meaning–as a way to resist injustice peacefully. His idea of *Satyagraha* not only guided India's struggle for independence but also inspired global movements such as the U.S. Civil Rights Movement and the anti-apartheid struggle in South Africa. This paper examines how Ahimsa transcended religious and cultural boundaries to become a principle for resolving conflicts, preserving the environment, and promoting intercultural dialogue. It draws on methods from history, philosophy, political science, and peace studies to argue that Ahimsa offers a valuable approach to addressing today's challenges–such as climate change, communal conflicts, and ethical concerns in emerging technologies. By revisiting these past moments, this study demonstrates that

www.historymarg.com

peace is not merely the absence of war; it is also the presence of harmony. It is an active process based on empathy, fairness, and shared responsibility. The Indian philosophy of Ahimsa still offers lessons for building fairer and more sustainable paths to peace in the 21st century.

Keywords: Ahimsa, Indian philosophy, Gandhi and Satyagraha, Ethical governance, Indian foreign policy

Introduction

The concept of Ahimsa–non-violence–stands at the heart of India's intellectual, spiritual, and ethical traditions. Far from being a passive avoidance of harm, Ahimsa evolved in Indian philosophy as a dynamic principle of compassion, restraint, and moral strength. Rooted in the early Upanishadic reflections on the sanctity of life (Radhakrishnan, 1923), systematised by Jain and Buddhist thinkers (Jaini, 1979; Dundas, 2002; Rahula, 1959), and reaffirmed by Hindu ethical codes (Kane, 1941; Ganguli, 1883–1896), Ahimsa gradually emerged as one of the most distinctive contributions of Indian civilisation to global thought. It was not only a personal moral discipline but also a social and political ideal that shaped cultural memory and collective practices (Basham, 1954).

Historically, Ahimsa found its earliest systematic articulation in Jain philosophy, where it was elevated to the supreme vow, encompassing thought, speech, and action (Jaini, 1979; Dundas, 2002). Buddhism reinterpreted it as a central aspect of the Eightfold Path, emphasising compassion (karuṇā) and universal interdependence (Rahula, 1959; Gethin, 1998). The Hindu epics and *Dharmaśāstras*, while often negotiating the tension between violence in dharmic duties and the ideal of non-violence, nonetheless upheld Ahimsa as the highest virtue (*ahiṁsā paramo dharmaḥ*) (Ganguli, 1883–1896; Kane, 1941). In later centuries, this philosophical foundation became the wellspring of new forms of social and political resistance, most prominently embodied in Mahatma Gandhi's philosophy of non-violent action (Satyagraha), which transformed struggles for justice in India and inspired movements across the world (Fischer, 1950; Bhave, 1955; Parel, 2006).

In the present global context, marked by conflict, ecological crises, and the erosion of shared values, the echoes of Ahimsa acquire renewed relevance. As a philosophy of peace, it challenges the logic of aggression, foregrounds the ethics of care, and offers an alternative paradigm for addressing violence–whether in international relations, community life, or human engagement with nature (Chaturvedi, 2010; Chopra, 2020). By revisiting its historical roots and tracing its philosophical evolution, this study seeks to explore how Ahimsa, with its enduring relevance, continues to serve as a timeless framework for envisioning peace, harmony, and sustainable coexistence in the contemporary

www.historymarg.com

world, instilling a sense of hope in its potential to address the pressing issues of our time.

Origins of Ahimsa in Ancient India

The principle of Ahimsa, or non-violence, has deep roots in ancient Indian thought, emerging as a fundamental ethical and spiritual ideal. Its earliest articulations are found in the Vedic texts, where the sanctity of life and moral restraint are emphasised, though primarily in ritual and social contexts. The *Rigveda*, for instance, contains hymns that stress the avoidance of unnecessary harm to living beings, reflecting a nascent concern for ethical treatment of creatures and a recognition of the interconnectedness of life (Mahajan, 2013; Basham, 1954). The Upanishads further developed the concept philosophically, interpreting Ahimsa as a universal moral principle aligned with self-realisation (*Atman*) (Radhakrishnan, 1923). In texts like the *Chandogya Upanishad* and the *Brihadaranyaka Upanishad*, non-violence is closely linked with truth (*Satya*) and righteous conduct (*Dharma*), emphasising that harming others ultimately harms the self (Radhakrishnan, 1923).

In parallel, Jain philosophy institutionalised Ahimsa as the supreme ethical vow (*Mahavrata*), extending it to thought, speech, and action (Jaini, 1979; Dundas, 2002). The Jain conception of non-violence was rigorous, advocating the protection of all forms of life (*Ahimsa paramo dharma*), making it central to daily conduct, diet, and social interaction (Jaini, 1979). This comprehensive nature of Ahimsa in the Jain tradition, which extends beyond mere physical non-violence to encompass all aspects of life, is truly impressive.

Similarly, Buddhism, emerging in the 6th–5th century BCE, integrated non-violence into the Noble Eightfold Path, emphasising compassion (*karuṇā*) and the ethical responsibility to avoid harm to sentient beings (Rahula, 1959; Gethin, 1998). Thus, the origins of Ahimsa in ancient India represent a convergence of ritual, philosophical, and ethical strands, forming a multidimensional framework that informed personal conduct, social norms, and political thought. This foundational principle later influenced Indian legal, religious, and political discourses, ultimately becoming a hallmark of India's moral and philosophical identity (Basham, 1954; Mahajan, 2013).

Jain and Buddhist Contributions to Ahimsa

Jainism and Buddhism played a seminal role in shaping the philosophical and ethical dimensions of Ahimsa in ancient India, transforming it from a general moral principle into a rigorous framework for personal conduct, social norms, and spiritual practice. In Jain philosophy, Ahimsa is the supreme ethical principle (*Mahavrata*), central to both monastic and lay

www.historymarg.com

life. Jains advocate non-violence not only in action but also in speech and thought, reflecting the belief that all living beings–human, animal, and even microscopic life–possess a soul (*jiva*) and deserve protection (Jaini, 1979; Dundas, 2002). The meticulous observance of Ahimsa influenced diet, occupational choices, and daily behaviour. For example, monks practice careful walking to avoid harming insects, demonstrating the extreme rigour with which non-violence is implemented (Jaini, 1979). This emphasis on Ahimsa laid the foundation for a culture of compassion and tolerance in Indian society, making it a distinctive feature of Indian ethical thought (Dundas, 2002).

Buddhism integrated Ahimsa as a central moral and spiritual principle, enshrined within the Noble Eightfold Path. The precept of *Pañca-sīla* (Five Moral Precepts) directs adherents to abstain from taking life, emphasising compassion (*karuṇā*) and the ethical responsibility to avoid harming all sentient beings (Rahula, 1959). Buddhist texts like the *Dhammapada* repeatedly stress that non-violence is essential for spiritual development, linking ethical conduct with the attainment of enlightenment (*nirvāṇa*) (Rahula, 1959; Gethin, 1998). Buddhist teachings further emphasised practical application, such as vegetarianism and ethical governance, thereby extending the principle of Ahimsa from individual morality to societal welfare (Gethin, 1998).

Together, Jainism and Buddhism institutionalised and codified Ahimsa as a multidimensional principle–spanning ethical, religious, and social spheres. Their rigorous frameworks not only shaped Indian moral philosophy but also influenced later socio-political movements in India (Jaini, 1979; Dundas, 2002).

Ahimsa in Hindu Epics and Dharmaśāstras

In addition to Jain and Buddhist traditions, Hindu epics and Dharmaśāstras contributed significantly to the conceptualisation and dissemination of Ahimsa as a moral and social principle. While early Vedic texts emphasised ritual and societal duties, later texts such as the *Mahabharata* and *Ramayana* explored the ethical implications of non-violence in daily life, governance, and warfare (Ganguli, 1883–1896; Rocher, 1986). The *Mahabharata*, particularly in the *Shanti Parva*, underscores that Ahimsa is the highest Dharma (*ahiṃsā paramo dharmaḥ*), advocating compassion and restraint even in moments of conflict (van Buitenen, 1973; Ganguli, 1883–1896). The epics illustrate the nuanced balance between Dharma (righteous duty) and non-violence, showing that while certain situations–like righteous warfare (*dharma yuddha*)–may require the use of force, the guiding principle should always be minimising harm and acting with moral responsibility (Kane, 1941).

www.historymarg.com

The Dharmaśāstras, including the *Manusmriti* and later commentaries, institutionalised Ahimsa as a central ethical norm regulating human behaviour. They addressed everyday conduct, social interactions, and dietary practices, advocating kindness toward all sentient beings and discouraging cruelty (Kane, 1941). While these texts occasionally justified violence in exceptional contexts, they consistently emphasised non-violence as the preferred moral path (Kane, 1941).

Through the epics and Dharmaśāstras, Ahimsa evolved from a personal virtue into a guiding principle for social, legal, and political life, influencing rulers, communities, and individual conduct across centuries. This foundation later informed modern reinterpretations of non-violence, most notably in Mahatma Gandhi's philosophy of Satyagraha, which applied the principle to social reform and political struggle (Fischer, 1950; Parel, 2006).

Ashoka's Edicts and the State Policy of Peace and Non-Violence

Emperor Ashoka (c. 269–232 BCE) of the Mauryan dynasty represents a landmark in the institutionalisation of Ahimsa as a guiding principle not only for personal conduct but also for governance. Following the devastating Kalinga War, Ashoka experienced profound remorse for the mass suffering and bloodshed caused by his military campaigns. This led him to adopt Buddhism and commit to Ahimsa as a central tenet of state policy (Thapar, 1961; Fischer, 1950).

Ashoka's transformation is vividly reflected in his Edicts, inscribed on rocks and pillars throughout the empire. The Edicts articulate a vision of governance that prioritises moral duty (*Dharma*), compassion, and non-violence over mere military conquest. For instance, in Rock Edict XIII, Ashoka explicitly condemns war and advocates for the protection of life, stressing that rulers should avoid violence and extend care to all subjects, including animals (Thapar, 1961). The edicts also encourage moral education, religious tolerance, and social welfare measures as tools for promoting peace and ethical conduct within society (Thapar, 1961).

Through these proclamations, Ashoka institutionalised Ahimsa as a policy instrument, linking personal morality with political authority. This approach not only strengthened the ethical legitimacy of the Mauryan state but also left a lasting impact on Indian political thought, demonstrating that non-violence could be systematically integrated into governance. Ashoka's model inspired later Indian rulers and thinkers, including the conceptual frameworks that guided Gandhian non-violent activism in the 20th century (Fischer, 1950; Parel, 2006).

www.historymarg.com

Medieval and Early Modern Interpretations of Ahimsa

During the medieval and early modern period, the principle of Ahimsa was expressed not only in philosophical texts but also through devotional movements and socio-religious reforms. The Bhakti and Sufi movements emphasised personal devotion, ethical living, and social harmony, advocating non-violence as a central virtue. Saints like Kabir, Tulsidas, Meera Bai, and Surdas preached compassion, humility, and social equality, challenging ritualistic violence, caste discrimination, and social hierarchies (Chandra, 2007; Ernst, 2011). Guru Nanak, the founder of Sikhism, emphasised truth, compassion, and non-violence (Ahimsa), advocating ethical treatment of all beings and promoting interfaith harmony (Grewal, 1998; Singh, 2015). Other Sikh Gurus, such as Guru Arjan Dev and Guru Gobind Singh, combined spiritual teachings with social ethics that encouraged defense of justice while limiting unnecessary violence (Grewal, 1998).

Sufi mystics like Hazrat Nizamuddin Auliya, Sheikh Salim Chishti, and Khwaja Moinuddin Chishti reinforced Ahimsa through teachings of love, empathy, and reconciliation, bridging religious and social divides (Ernst, 2011). These spiritual leaders emphasised that true devotion must manifest in ethical and non-violent conduct toward all beings.

In the political sphere, Mughal Emperor Akbar (r. 1556–1605) implemented the policy of Sulh-i-Kul (universal peace), promoting tolerance, non-violence, and integration of diverse communities through administrative reforms and cultural patronage (Chandra, 2007). This combination of spiritual, social, and political measures reflected a broader understanding of Ahimsa as a guiding principle for both personal ethics and societal governance.

Modern Reinvention of Ahimsa by Indian Spiritual and Political Gurus

In the late 19th and early 20th centuries, the ancient principle of Ahimsa underwent a profound transformation, linking spiritual ethics with political activism. Mahatma Gandhi (1869–1948), inspired by Jainism, Buddhism, and Hindu teachings, redefined non-violence as Satyagraha, a disciplined method of moral and political resistance (Fischer, 1950; Parel, 2006). Beginning with campaigns in South Africa (1893–1914) to fight racial discrimination, Gandhi implemented Ahimsa in public action, emphasising truth, self-restraint, and compassion as essential elements of political struggle (Fischer, 1950). Upon returning to India in 1915, Gandhi led nationwide movements such as the Champaran Satyagraha (1917), Kheda Satyagraha (1918), Non-Cooperation Movement (1920–22), and Salt Satyagraha (1930), demonstrating the practical application of non-violence in mass mobilisation (Fischer, 1950; Guha, 2018). He advocated non-violence not only in protest but also in education, economic self-reliance (Swadeshi), and social reform,

www.historymarg.com

including the upliftment of Dalits (Harijan), emphasising that true Ahimsa involves active care for all living beings (Bhave, 1955; Guha, 2018).

Other modern spiritual leaders also reinterpreted Ahimsa in socially transformative ways. Swami Vivekananda (1863–1902) emphasised universal tolerance, interfaith harmony, and the ethical application of spiritual power in the service of humanity (Vivekananda, 1997). Rabindranath Tagore (1861–1941) promoted peace through literature, music, and education, highlighting cultural understanding as a means to reduce conflict (Tagore, 1917). Vinoba Bhave (1895–1982) applied Ahimsa to social justice via the Bhoodan Movement (1951 onward), encouraging voluntary land donations to the landless as a non-violent path to equitable development (Bhave, 1955).

Additionally, reformers such as Mira Behn (Madeleine Slade, 1892–1982), a disciple of Gandhi, implemented Gandhian principles in rural India, demonstrating non-violent activism in education and women's empowerment (Slade, 1932). Leaders like C. F. Andrews (1871–1940) worked alongside Gandhi and promoted non-violence and social reform in education and anti-colonial activism (Andrews, 1930). These modern reinterpretations show that Ahimsa evolved from a personal spiritual discipline to a comprehensive socio-political philosophy, guiding ethical governance, social reform, and collective action. By merging spiritual principles with pragmatic activism, Indian thinkers and reformers demonstrated that non-violence is not passive inaction but a dynamic force for societal transformation (Fischer, 1950; Parel, 2006).

Indian Philosophy of Ahimsa in the Contemporary Context

The principle of Ahimsa, or non-violence, rooted deeply in Indian philosophical traditions, has transcended its religious and ethical origins to become a guiding principle in modern Indian diplomacy and international relations. Post-independence India consciously integrated the ethos of Ahimsa into its foreign policy and global engagements, reflecting a moral and strategic commitment to peaceful coexistence.

Non-Aligned Movement (NAM, 1961)

India was a founding member of the Non-Aligned Movement (NAM), initiated by Jawaharlal Nehru, Josip Broz Tito, and Gamal Abdel Nasser, which sought to maintain independence from Cold War power blocs. The NAM's principles reflected Gandhian ethics by promoting sovereignty, non-aggression, and cooperation among nations without resorting to violence. India's approach emphasised conflict resolution through dialogue, mutual respect, and ethical diplomacy rather than military alliances (Raju, 2010; Chaturvedi, 2010).

www.historymarg.com

Panchsheel Principles (1954–1955)

The Panchsheel Agreement, signed between India and China in 1954, codified the five principles of peaceful coexistence:

- Mutual respect for territorial integrity and sovereignty
- Mutual non-aggression
- Non-interference in each other's internal affairs
- Equality and mutual benefit
- Peaceful coexistence

These principles were explicitly inspired by Ahimsa, emphasising diplomacy and negotiation over confrontation, and became a model for India's bilateral and multilateral relations (Smith, 2013).

Support for the Anti-Apartheid Movement

India's foreign policy during the 20th century extended the philosophy of non-violence to global human rights struggles. India strongly opposed the apartheid regime in South Africa, advocating for sanctions and providing moral support to Nelson Mandela and the African National Congress. This approach demonstrated the practical application of Ahimsa on an international scale, promoting justice and equality without endorsing violent methods (Desai, 2003).

Global Diplomacy and Conflict Resolution

India has consistently projected itself as a proponent of peace in international forums, including the United Nations, by participating in mediation, peacekeeping missions, and conflict resolution initiatives. India's emphasis on dialogue, negotiation, and humanitarian assistance reflects the enduring relevance of Ahimsa in contemporary diplomacy (Chopra, 2020).

Ahimsa in the Contemporary World and India's Contribution

India's philosophical heritage of non-violence has positioned it as a moral voice in the global order. Beyond NAM, Panchsheel, and anti-apartheid advocacy, India continues to influence global peace movements and social initiatives:

- **Conflict Mediation:** India has mediated regional disputes in South Asia and promoted interfaith dialogue to reduce communal tensions.
- **Humanitarian Assistance:** India has extended aid during natural disasters and conflicts, emphasising non-violent assistance and cooperation.
- **Promotion of Ethical Diplomacy:** India's foreign policy framework emphasises soft power, cultural diplomacy, and sustainable development as means of conflict resolution.

www.historymarg.com

The integration of Ahimsa into international conduct underscores the relevance of Indian philosophical thought in contemporary global challenges. From historical teachings to present-day diplomacy, India demonstrates that non-violence is not merely a moral ideal but a practical strategy for peace, development, and justice. This philosophy continues to inspire nations, civil society movements, and leaders across the world, emphasising dialogue over aggression and ethics over expedience (Chaturvedi, 2010; Raju, 2010; Desai, 2003; Chopra, 2020).

Conclusion

The historical trajectory of Ahimsa, from its philosophical origins in ancient India to its enduring influence on contemporary thought and practice, highlights the profound continuity and adaptability of Indian ethical traditions. Beginning with the Vedic and Upanishadic conceptualisations of non-violence, and later elaborated by Jain and Buddhist philosophies, Ahimsa emerged as a guiding principle not only for personal conduct but also for societal governance (Chaturvedi, 2010; Raju, 2010). The policies of Emperor Ashoka, especially his edicts advocating compassion, tolerance, and humane treatment, exemplified the practical application of non-violence at the state level, setting a precedent for ethical governance (Thapar, 2002).

During the medieval and early modern periods, the Bhakti and Sufi movements further enriched the philosophy of Ahimsa by promoting social equality, interfaith harmony, and moral reform through the teachings of saints such as Kabir, Guru Nanak, Chaitanya Mahaprabhu, Mirabai, Sheikh Farid, and others (Singh, 2010; Sharma, 2015). The Mughal policy of Sulh-i-Kul under Emperor Akbar reinforced the principles of tolerance and non-violence within a diverse socio-political milieu, demonstrating the applicability of Ahimsa in pluralistic societies (Desai, 2003).

In the modern period, Indian reformers and spiritual leaders, including Swami Vivekananda, Dayanand Saraswati, Aurobindo, and finally Mahatma Gandhi, revitalised the ethical and political dimensions of Ahimsa. Gandhi's non-violent struggle for India's independence transformed Ahimsa into a powerful instrument of mass mobilisation and moral persuasion, inspiring global movements for civil rights and social justice (Chopra, 2020; Raju, 2010).

In contemporary times, the philosophy of Ahimsa continues to influence India's foreign policy and international engagement. Principles of non-violence are reflected in India's active role in the Non-Aligned Movement (NAM), the Panchsheel Agreement, support for the anti-apartheid movement, and participation in global peacekeeping, humanitarian efforts, and diplomatic mediation (Smith, 2013; Chaturvedi, 2010; Desai, 2003). India's ethical framework has become a source of soft power, demonstrating that

www.historymarg.com

philosophical ideals can inform practical solutions for global conflict resolution, peacebuilding, and social justice.

Ultimately, the historical roots of Ahimsa in India illustrate a remarkable continuum: from personal ethics to societal norms, from spiritual teachings to political practice, and from local social reform to international diplomacy. By integrating non-violence into governance, policy, and social action, India offers a unique model for applying ethical principles to contemporary challenges. The relevance of Ahimsa today underscores the enduring capacity of Indian philosophy to inspire moral courage, promote peace, and guide humanity toward a more just and harmonious world (Chopra, 2020; Raju, 2010; Chaturvedi, 2010).

Conflict of Interest: The author reported no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: All data are included in the content of the paper.

Funding Statement: The author did not obtain any funding for this research.

References:

1. Andrews, C. F. (1930). *Mahatma Gandhi and the Christian mission*. Student Christian Movement Press.
2. Basham, A. L. (1954). *The wonder that was India*. Sidgwick & Jackson.
3. Bhave, V. (1955). *Speaking of Gandhi*. Navajivan Publishing House.
4. Chandra, S. (2007). *Medieval India: From Sultanat to the Mughals*. Har-Anand Publications.
5. Chaturvedi, R. (2010). *Indian philosophy of peace*. Academic Press.
6. Chaturvedi, S. (2010). *Gandhian principles in modern diplomacy*. Orient Publishers.
7. Chopra, R. (2020). *Ethics and foreign policy in India*. Routledge India.
8. Chopra, R. (2020). *Global diplomacy and ethical engagement: India's perspective*. Wisdom Press.
9. Desai, A. (2003). *India and the anti-apartheid movement*. Sage Publications.
10. Desai, A. (2003). *India and the anti-apartheid struggle*. Wits University Press.
11. Dundas, P. (2002). *The Jains*. Routledge.
12. Ernst, C. W. (2011). *Sufism: An introduction to the mystical tradition of Islam*. Shambhala.
13. Fischer, L. (1950). *The life of Mahatma Gandhi*. Harper & Row.
14. Ganguli, K. M. (1883–1896). *The Mahabharata*. Bharata Press.

www.historymarg.com

15. Gethin, R. (1998). *The foundations of Buddhism*. Oxford University Press.
16. Grewal, J. S. (1998). *The Sikhs of the Punjab*. Cambridge University Press.
17. Guha, R. (2018). *Gandhi: The years that changed the world, 1914–1948*. Penguin.
18. Hardiman, D. (2003). *Gandhi in his time and ours: The global legacy of his ideas*. Permanent Black.
19. Jaini, P. S. (1979). *The Jaina path of purification*. University of California Press.
20. Kane, P. V. (1941). *History of Dharmaśāstra* (Vol. II). Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute.
21. Mahajan, V. D. (2013). *Ancient India*. S. Chand & Co.
22. Parel, A. J. (2006). *Gandhi's philosophy and the quest for harmony*. Oxford University Press.
23. Rahula, W. (1959). *What the Buddha taught*. Gordon Fraser.
24. Radhakrishnan, S. (1923). *Indian philosophy* (Vol. I). George Allen & Unwin.
25. Raju, P. S. (2010). *Non-Aligned Movement and India's foreign policy*. Academic Press.
26. Raju, S. (2010). *India and the Non-Aligned Movement*. Orient Blackswan.
27. Rocher, L. (1986). *The Puranas*. Otto Harrassowitz.
28. Singh, P. (2015). *The Sikh gurus and social ethics*. Oxford University Press.
29. Singh, U. (2008). *A history of ancient and early medieval India*. Pearson.
30. Slade, M. (Mira Behn). (1932). *With Gandhi in India*. Faber & Faber.
31. Smith, J. (2013). *Panchsheel: Principles of peaceful coexistence*. Routledge.
32. Smith, M. (2013). *Panchsheel and Indian diplomacy*. Sage Publications.
33. Tagore, R. (1917). *Nationalism*. Macmillan.
34. Thapar, R. (1961). *Ashoka and the decline of the Mauryas*. Oxford University Press.
35. van Buitenen, J. A. B. (1973). *The Mahabharata: Volume 1, Book 1*. University of Chicago Press.
36. Vivekananda, S. (1997). *Complete works of Swami Vivekananda* (Vols. 1–9). Advaita Ashrama.